arXiv:1609.04766v2 [math.OA] 2 Nov 2016

AN EXTENSION OF COMPACT OPERATORS BY COMPACT OPERATORS WITH NO NONTRIVIAL MULTIPLIERS

SAEED GHASEMI AND PIOTR KOSZMIDER

ABSTRACT. We construct an essential extension of $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c}))$ by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$, where $\mathfrak{c}$ denotes the cardinality of continuum, i.e., a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ satisfying the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c})) \to 0,$$

where $\iota[\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ is an essential ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the algebra of multipliers $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is equal to the unitization of $\mathcal{A}$. In particular $\mathcal{A}$ is not stable which sheds light on permanence properties of the stability in the nonseparable setting. Namely, an extension of a nonseparable algebra of compact operators, even by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$, does not have to be stable. This construction can be considered as a noncommutative version of Mrówka's Ψ-space; a space whose one point compactification equals to its Čech-Stone compactification and is induced by a special uncountable family of almost disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. The role of the almost disjoint family is played by an almost orthogonal family of projections in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$, but the almost matrix units corresponding to the matrix units in $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c}))$ must be constructed with extra care. This example may also contribute in the future to our understanding of the semigroups $Ext(\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa)))$ for $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$ which are unexplored at the moment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Perhaps the simplest example of a locally compact space whose one-point compactification is equal to the Čech-Stone compactification is the first uncountable ordinal ω_1 with the order topology. This follows from the well-known fact that every real or complex valued continuous function on ω_1 is eventually constant. Mrówka has constructed a locally compact space X with the above property which is of a classical type (already mentioned in [2]), namely, a separable scattered space of Cantor-Bendixson height 2 ([19], see also 6.4. of [14]). Such spaces are induced by uncountable almost disjoint families of infinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ (every two distinct members of the family have finite intersection). On the level of Banach spaces of continuous functions or commutative C^*-algebras Mrówka's space X satisfies the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to c_0 \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}_0(X) \to c_0(\mathfrak{c}) \to 0,$$

where $\iota[c_0]$ is an essential ideal $C_0(X)$, or in other words, $\mathbb{N}$ is a dense subset of X. Here $\mathfrak{c}$ denotes the cardinality of the continuum.

In this paper we show that it is possible to produce a noncommutative version of this phenomenon. It is well known that the noncommutative version of the ideal of finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, or the commutative C^*-algebra c_0, is the C^*-algebra

The research of the second named author was partially supported by grant PVE Ciência sem Fronteiras - CNPq (406239/2013-4).

of all compact operators on a separable Hilbert space. This analogy also works for finite subsets of $\mathfrak{c}$ and the C^*-algebra of all compact operators on the Hilbert space $\ell_2(\mathfrak{c})$ of density $\mathfrak{c}$. The roles of the one point compactification and the Čech-Stone compactification of a locally compact, noncompact space X are played by the unitization of a nonunital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the algebra of all multipliers $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. So we are interested in an essential extension of the algebra of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c}))$ by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ (see [6] for the terminology concerning extensions of C^*-algebras), i.e., a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the short exact sequence

$$(*) \qquad 0 \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c})) \to 0,$$

where $\iota[\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ is an essential ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ with the additional property that the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is *-isomorphic to the unitization of $\mathcal{A}$, which will be denoted by $\tilde{A}$. In other words the corona algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{A}$ of our $\mathcal{A}$ is *-isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. The existence of such a C^*-algebra is proved in the main theorem of this paper, Theorem 6.1.

In fact the extensions as above perhaps play more prominent role in the noncommutative topology than in the classical one, the fundamental results were discovered in [8], [26], [7] and this line of research has been developed extensively (see e.g. [21], [18]). In particular, given an extension $0 \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to 0$ and a property $\mathcal{P}$ it became quite a natural question to ask whether $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy the property $\mathcal{P}$ if both $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy $\mathcal{P}$. Our example has $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ as simple as possible (besides $\mathcal{B}$ being nonseparable), however $\mathcal{A}$ is quite pathological.

In particular if $\mathcal{P}$ is the stability property of a C^*-algebra (recall that a C^*-algebra is stable if it is isomorphic to its tensor product by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$) then the above question is usually called "the extension question for stable C^*-algebras" (see [23]). If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a separable C^*-algebra, then Proposition 6.12 of [23] states that $\mathcal{A}$ is stable if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is stable (this is attributed there as essentially a result essentially of [7]). In fact this holds also if the C^*-algerbas $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ in the above short exact sequence are any separable approximately finite C^*-algebras, by a result of Blackadar ([5]). So our example shows that these results do not hold for nonseparable approximately finite C^*-algebras, as the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ from Theorem 6.1 must be nonstable; the multiplier algebra of any stable algebra with a projection contains $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ (by 3.8. of [1]), while the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ does not contain $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$, since $\mathcal{A}$ and therefore $\tilde{A}$ are scattered C^*-algebras, and consequently all of their subalgebras are approximately finite ([17]) in the sense that any finite subset can be approximated from a finite dimensional subalgebra. We need to add however, that there are separable extensions of $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ which are not stable ([22]) and that various definitions of approximately finite C^*-algebras which are equivalent in the separable case are no longer equivalent in the nonseparable context (see [12]).

We note also that our C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is complemented in the Banach space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ as its one-codimensional closed subspace. This fact does not hold for many nonseparable C^*-algebras (see 3.7 of [24]). It is also interesting to note that any separable subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is included in a separable subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \to 0,$$

where $\iota[\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ is essential. All such algebras $\mathcal{B}$ are isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$, the noncommutative version of $C_0(\omega^2)$ (Proposition 2.17). Also clearly $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c}))$ satisfies the short exact sequence (*), however it is stable, so the property (*) does

not determine the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ up to isomorphism. These facts have well-known analogues in the commutative context which is surveyed in [14]. These results could also be useful to a project of understanding what is the semigroup $Ext(\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ for $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Although such a project has not been carried out even partially, noncommutative constructions based on almost disjoint families like in this paper or in papers [9], [25], [4] show that these semigroups may be very complex and interesting.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall and prove preliminary results concerning liftings of systems of matrix units from the Calkin algebra to the $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$, which are called systems of almost matrix units. This is related to the liftings of families of orthogonal projections (families of almost orthogonal projections) in the context of the above algebras, which were analyzed in [27] and [11]. The result of [27] stating that a maximal almost disjoint family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ does not have to produce a maximal family of orthogonal projections in the Calkin algebra, shows that the approach attempting to manipulate the original Mrówka's almost disjoint family from [19] is not a good one.

In Section 3 we introduce and investigate the notion of a multiplier for a system of almost matrix units $\{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$. This notion is weaker than being in the multiplier algebra generated by a system of almost matrix units and compact operators. However, to any such multiplier $R \in B(\ell_2)$ we can associate a $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix, whose analysis is crucial in the remaining parts of the paper.

In Section 4 we prove some results related to a system of almost matrix units labeled by pairs of branches of the Cantor tree. In particular, it is essential later to use the Borel structure of the standard topology on the Cantor tree in the form of the prefect set property of Borel subsets of the tree.

Section 5 is devoted to a simple method of modifying a system of almost matrix units called *pairing*. Finally in Section 6 we present the construction which uses all the previously developed theory.

The general scenario of the construction and the proof of the properties of our algebra follows the main steps of [19], but there are two-fold complications. The usual problems related to passing from the commutative to the noncommutative context, and the combinatorial difficulties related to the fact that the objects corresponding to almost disjoint families, namely the systems of almost matrix units, are labeled by pairs and not single indices. So the main natural idea is to construct the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ and the elements $\{S_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$, which form a system of matrix units in $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c}))$ after taking the quotient of $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$. The next step is to use a transfinite induction on $\xi, \eta < \mathfrak{c}$ in order to "kill" all multipliers which are not in the unitization of $\mathcal{A}$. The main "killing" method is the above-mentioned pairing, which is analyzed in Section 6. It requires a preparatory system of almost matrix units $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \mathfrak{c}\}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}'$ is the C^*-algebra generated by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ and the elements $\{U_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \mathfrak{c}\}$, and R is a multiplier in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}')$, which satisfies $U_{\eta,\eta}RU_{\xi,\xi} = \lambda_1 U_{\xi,\eta} + Q_1$ and $U_{\eta',\eta'}RU_{\xi',\xi'} = \lambda_2 U_{\xi',\eta'} + Q_2$, where $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ are complex numbers and Q_1, Q_2 are compact operators. Then we can "kill" R in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by declaring $S_{\eta,\xi} = U_{\eta,\xi} + U_{\eta',\xi'}$. One of the ingredients needed to successfully perform such transfinite "killing" method (Lemma 5.5) is that we have continuum many witnesses $\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta', \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ of the above or similar situations. To guarantee this we obtain $\mathcal{U}$ from an extension of the Cantor tree system of matrix units $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ (Section 4) which interacts with

the Borel structure of the Cantor cube $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Namely, if R is a multiplier in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}'')$, where $\mathcal{A}''$ is generated by $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ and the elements $\{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$, then the perfect set property for Borel subsets of a Polish space allows us to prove that, roughly speaking, this fact is witnessed by either countably or continuum many ξ, η, λ, so that $T_{\eta,\eta}RT_{\xi,\xi} = \lambda T_{\eta,\xi} + Q$, where Q belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ (Lemma 4.1)). If the projections $\{T_{\xi,\xi} : \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ formed a maximal almost orthogonal system, then the countability of the above set of witnesses would imply that the multiplier belongs to the unitization of $\mathcal{A}''$ (Lemma 3.11 and Definition 3.8); this is a noncommutative version of the fact that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a maximal almost disjoint family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, then for every infinite $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ the collection $\{A \cap F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is uncountable or A belongs to the ideal of sets generated by $\mathcal{F}$. But the projections $\{T_{\xi,\xi} : \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ do not form a maximal almost orthogonal system. However they can be extended to a maximal system which if paired appropriately (Lemma 5.6) can maintain some version of the dichotomy given by the perfect set property.

The notation and terminology should be standard and attempts to follow texts like [20], [3], [6], [11]. Adopting the notation from the commutative case, for $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$, we often write $T =^* S$ if $T - S \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$. The map δ is always defined so that $\delta_{\alpha,\beta} = 1$ if $\alpha = \beta$ and 0 otherwise. $[X]^{<\omega}$ denotes the family of all finite subsets of a set X and $[X]^2$ denotes the family of all two-elements sets of X. For C^*-algebras $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ we identify the unitization $\tilde{A}$ with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and the identity operator $1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}$.

We would like to thank Hannes Thiel for bringing the paper [24] to our attention and to Ilijas Farah for pointing out some gaps in the previous versions of the paper and for valuable comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Compact operators.** The following elementary lemma sums up the basic properties of the compact operators which will be used throughout this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $(e_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space ℓ_2 and S is a bounded linear operator on ℓ_2.*

1. *If $\Sigma_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|S(e_n)\| < \infty$, then S is compact,*
2. *If S is compact, $w_k \in span(e_n : n \in F_k)$ are norm 1 vectors, for pairwise disjoint finite $F_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(\|S(w_k)\|)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \to 0$,*
3. *If S is noncompact, then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite subset $F_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $k < \min(F_k)$ and $(a_n)_{n\in F_k} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|S(\Sigma_{n\in F_k} a_n e_n)\| > \varepsilon$ and $\Sigma_{n\in F_k}|a_n|^2 \leq 1$.*

Proof. To prove (1) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we decompose $S = S_{k^-} + S_{k^+}$ where $S_{k^-} = S|span(\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\})$ and $S_{k^+} = S - S_{k^-}$. It is enough to prove that S_{k^+} have norms converging to 0 as S_{k^-} are finite dimensional. If $v = \Sigma_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\alpha_n e_n$ where $\Sigma_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|\alpha_n|^2 = 1$, then $\|S_{k^+}(v)\| \leq \Sigma_{n>k}|\alpha_n|\|S(e_n)\| \leq \Sigma_{n>k}\|S(e_n)\|$ which must converge to zero with k converging to infinity as $\Sigma_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|S(e_n)\| < \infty$.

(2) is a consequence of the definition of a compact operator and the fact that the image under S of the unit ball is a compact metrizable space and hence every subsequence of $(S(w_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ contains further subsequence which is norm convergent. But $(w_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is weakly convergent to 0 and so $(S(w_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is weakly convergent to 0. As the norm convergence implies the weak convergence to the same limit, it follows that each subsequence of $(S(w_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ contains

further subsequence which is norm convergent to zero, hence it cannot have any other accumulation point and so $(\|S(w_n)\| : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to 0.

For (3) let S_{k^-} and S_{k^+} be as above. Note again that each S_{k^-} is finite dimensional and so if the norm of S_{k^+} converged to zero we would approximate S by finite dimensional operators which would mean that S is compact. So the norms of S_{k^+}s must be separated from 0 by some $\varepsilon > 0$, which yields to finding the F_k and $(a_n)_{n\in F_k}$ as in (3). □

Despite the above Lemma there are noncompact linear operators $S : \ell_2 \to \ell_2$ satisfying $S(e_n) \to 0$. For example, consider the operator S defined by $S((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})(k) = \Sigma_{i\in I_k} x_i/\sqrt{k}$, where I_ks form pairwise disjoint consecutive intervals in $\mathbb{N}$ of size k. Considering $w_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\chi_{I_k}$, where χ_{I_k} is the characteristic function on I_k, one can easily verify that (2) fails.

2.2. **Families of almost orthogonal projections.**

Definition 2.2 ([27])**.** *For a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a family $\mathcal{P}$ of noncompact projections of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called almost orthogonal if the product of any two distinct elements of it is compact. Such a family $\mathcal{P}$ is called maximal if for every noncompact projection $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the operator PQ is noncompact, for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Lemma 2.3 (5.34 of [11])**.** *Let $\pi : \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \to \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ be the quotient map. Given any sequence $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of projections in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $\pi(P_i)$ and $\pi(P_j)$ commute for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is, an atomic masa $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $\pi[A]$ contains all $\pi(P_i)$s for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an almost orthogonal family of projections of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. Then there are pairwise orthogonal projections $\{R_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $P_n =^* R_n$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 there is an atomic masa $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $\pi[\mathcal{A}] \supseteq \{\pi(P_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\ell_\infty \cong C(\beta\mathbb{N})$, the ideal of compact operators in $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $c_0 \cong C_0(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}^*) = \{f \in C(\beta\mathbb{N}) : f|\mathbb{N}^* = 0\}$, where $\mathbb{N}^* = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \cong C(\beta\mathbb{N})/C_0(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}^*) \cong C(\mathbb{N}^*)$. As $\pi[P_n]$ are orthogonal projections, they correspond to the characteristic functions of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of $\mathbb{N}^*$. Such sets are given by pairwise disjoint elements of $\wp(\mathbb{N})/Fin$. For any such family in $\wp(\mathbb{N})/Fin$ we can choose pairwise disjoint representatives in $\wp(\mathbb{N})$, which define disjoint clopen subsets of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ and therefore pairwise orthogonal projections R_n in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi(R_n) = \pi(P_n)$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is noncompact and self-adjoint. Then there is a closed infinite dimensional subspace $X \subseteq \ell_2$ such that $R|X$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and R commutes with the orthogonal projection P_X onto X.*

Proof. By the spectral theorem there are a measure space $(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$, an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $U : \ell_2 \to L_2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$, and a measurable function f such that URU^* is equal to the operator M_f on $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ acting by multiplication by f. Since R is noncompact, we have $f \neq 0$. Putting $A_n = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : |f(x)| > 1/n\}$, we have $M_f = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{f\chi_{A_n}}$, where the convergence is in the operator norm as $f - f\chi_{A_n}$ is a function bounded by $1/n$. Let $P_n = U^* M_{\chi_n} U$. Each P_n is a projection which commutes with R and is bounded away from zero on the range of P_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover $(RP_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges (in the norm) to R. As R is noncompact, for some

n the projection P_n is infinite dimensional. Hence we obtain the lemma by letting $X = P_n[\ell_2]$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is a maximal almost orthogonal family of projections of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a self-adjoint and noncompact operator. Then there are $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that P_2SP_1 is noncompact.*

Proof. Let $X \subseteq \ell_2$ be an infinite dimensional subspace such that $S|X$ is invertible and P_X commutes with S, which exists by Lemma 2.5. By the maximality of $\mathcal{P}$ we find $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that P_XP_1 is noncompact. Therefore $P_XP_1P_X$ is a self-adjoint and noncompact operator. Using Lemma 2.5 again for $P_XP_1P_X$, there is an infinite dimensional subspace $Y \subseteq X$ such that $(P_XP_1P_X)|Y$ is invertible. So $P_XP_1P_X$ acts on Y as an isomorphism of Banach spaces, transforming Y into its image $(P_XP_1P_X)[Y]$ which is an infinite dimensional subspace of X. Since S acts as an isomorphism of Banach spaces on X, it follows that $SP_XP_1P_X$ is noncompact. Also since S commutes with P_X, the operator $P_XSP_1P_X$ is noncompact, and therefore SP_1 is noncompact. Working with SP_1 instead of S, similarly we find $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that P_2SP_1 is noncompact. □

2.3. **Systems of almost matrix units.**

Definition 2.7. *Let κ be a cardinal and $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra. A family $\{a_{\beta,\alpha} : \alpha, \beta < \kappa\}$ of nonzero elements of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following matrix units relations:*

(1) $a^*_{\beta,\alpha} = a_{\alpha,\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta < \kappa$,
(2) $a_{\beta,\alpha}a_{\gamma,\eta} = \delta_{\alpha,\gamma}x_{\beta,\eta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta < \kappa$,

is called a system of matrix units in $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let κ be a cardinal and $\mathcal{A}$ be the C^*-algebra generated by a system of its matrix units $\{a_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is *-isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ of all compact operators on $\ell_2(\kappa)$.*

Proof. . Let $\{e_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\ell_2(\kappa)$ and the operators $\{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ are the system of matrix units in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ defined by $T_{\eta,\xi}(e_\xi) = e_\eta$ and $T_{\eta,\xi}(e'_\xi) = 0$ for $\xi' \neq \xi$. For every finite subset F of κ, let $\mathcal{B}_F$ be the C^*-subalgebra generated by $\{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in F\}$, which is clearly isomorphic to $M_{|F|}$, the algebra of all $|F| \times |F|$ matrices. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the inductive limit of the algebras $\mathcal{B}_F$, along the set $\mathcal{F}_\kappa$ of finite subsets of κ and the *-homomorphisms $\phi_{G,F} : \mathcal{B}_F \to \mathcal{B}_G$ for $F \subseteq G$ and $F, G \in \mathcal{F}_\kappa$, defined by $\phi_{G,F}(T_{\eta,\xi}) = T_{\eta,\xi}$, for $\xi, \eta \in F$. Clearly $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$. The map which sends $a_{\eta,\xi}$ to $T_{\eta,\xi}$ extends to a $*$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{B}$. □

Definition 2.9. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ be a family of non-compact operators. We say that $\mathcal{T}$ is a system of almost matrix units if and only if for every $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta < \kappa$,*

(1) $T^*_{\eta,\xi} =^* T_{\xi,\eta}$,
(2) $T_{\beta,\alpha}\ T_{\eta,\xi} =^* \delta_{\alpha,\eta}T_{\beta,\xi}$.

Definition 2.10. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a system of almost matrix units and $\{P_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ is a collection of almost orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. We say that $\mathcal{T}$ is based on $\mathcal{P}$ if $T_{\xi,\xi} =^* P_\xi$ for all $\xi < \kappa$. We say that $\mathcal{T}$ is a maximal system of almost matrix units if it is based on a maximal family of almost orthogonal projections.*

Lemma 2.11. *Every system of almost matrix units is based on a family of almost orthogonal projections.*

Proof. By the almost matrix units relations 2.9 we have that $(T_{\xi,\xi})^* =^* T_{\xi,\xi}$ and $T_{\xi,\xi}T_{\xi,\xi} =^* T_{\xi,\xi}$, so $[T_{\xi,\xi}]_{K(\ell_2)}$ is a projection in the Calkin algebra, so we can find a projection $P_\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $P_\xi =^* T_{\xi,\xi}$ by 5.3. of [11]. □

In the following part of this section we use some facts about partial isometries i.e., elements of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ which are isometries on a subspace of ℓ_2 and zero on its orthogonal complement. For such an element $U \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ being a partial isometry is equivalent to the conditions (i) $U = UU^*U$, (ii) $U^* = U^*UU^*$, (iii) U^*U is a projection, (iv) UU^* is a projection (2.3.3. [20]). Moreover recall that by the polar decomposition, any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ can be written as $T = U|T|$, where U is a partial isometry whose kernel is equal to the kernel of T (2.3.4. [20]).

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\xi : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a family of almost orthogonal projections. Then there is a system of almost matrix units $\mathcal{T}$ based on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Since P_ξ for $\xi < \kappa$ are infinite dimensional projections, there are partial isometries $T_{\xi,0} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $T^*_{\xi,0}T_{\xi,0} = P_0$ and $T_{\xi,0}T^*_{\xi,0} = P_\xi$, for each $\xi < \kappa$. Let $T_{0,\xi} = T^*_{\xi,0}$. We have $T_{\xi,0} = P_\xi T_{\xi,0}$ and $T_{0,\xi} = T_{0,\xi}P_\xi$ (see 2.3.3. of [20]). For $\xi, \eta < \kappa$,, define

$$T_{\xi,\eta} = T_{\xi,0}T_{0,\eta}.$$

It is clear that $\{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ satisfies the condition (1) of Definition 2.9. For (2) note that if $\alpha, \eta < \kappa$ then

$$T_{0,\alpha}T_{\eta,0} = T_{0,\alpha}P_\alpha P_\eta T_{\eta,0},$$

which is compact if $\xi \neq \eta$, by the almost orthogonality of P_ξs and $T_{\beta,\alpha}T_{\alpha,\xi} = T_{\beta,\xi}$. □

Lemma 2.13. *Every system of almost matrix units can be extended to a maximal one.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a system of almost matrix units. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\xi : \xi \in \kappa\}$ be a family of projections such that $T_{\xi,\xi} =^* P_\xi$ as in Lemma 2.11. Extend $\mathcal{P}$ to a maximal family of almost orthogonal projections $\mathcal{P}' = \{P_\xi : \xi \in \kappa\} \cup \{P_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ for some set X disjoint from κ. Use Lemma 2.12 to construct a system of almost matrix units $\{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X \cup \{0\}\}$ based on $\{P_\xi : \xi \in X \cup \{0\}\}$. For $\xi \in \kappa$ and $\eta \in X$ define

$$T_{\eta,\xi} = T_{\eta,0}T_{0,\xi}, \quad T_{\xi,\eta} = T_{\xi,0}T_{0,\eta}.$$

We need to check that the relations (1) -(2) of Definition 2.9 are satisfied for all $\xi, \eta, \alpha, \beta \in \kappa \cup X$. Clearly when all indices belong to X or they all belong to κ, the relations are satisfied, therefore assume otherwise. For (1) we always have $(T_{\eta,\xi})^* = T^*_{0,\xi}T^*_{\eta,0} = T_{\xi,0}T_{0,\eta} = T_{\xi,\eta}$. For (2), if $\alpha \neq \eta$, then the pairwise almost orthogonality of elements of $\mathcal{P}'$ easily implies that the product in (2) is zero. Assuming $\alpha = \eta$, then we have four cases: 1) $\alpha < \kappa$ and $\xi, \beta \in X \cup \{0\}$, then $T_{\beta,\alpha}T_{\alpha,\xi} = T_{\beta,0}T_{0,\alpha}T_{\alpha,0}T_{0,\xi} =^* T_{\beta,0}T_{0,0}T_{0,\xi} =^* T_{\beta,\xi}$; 2) $\alpha, \xi < \kappa$ and $\beta \in X \cup \{0\}$, then $T_{\beta,\alpha}T_{\alpha,\xi} = T_{\beta,0}T_{0,\alpha}T_{\alpha,\xi} =^* T_{\beta,0}T_{0,\xi} = T_{\beta,\xi}$. The remaining cases of 3) $\alpha \in X \cup \{0\}$ and $\xi, \beta < \kappa$ and 4) $\alpha, \xi \in X \cup \{0\}$ and $\beta < \kappa$ are symmetric and analogous. □

If $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a system of almost matrix units, we use $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ to denote the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ generated by $\{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ and the compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$.

Lemma 2.14. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a system of almost matrix units in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ satisfies the short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa)) \to 0,$$

where $\iota[\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ is an essential ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ If κ is uncountable, then there is no $\sigma : \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa)) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma$ is the identity on $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$.

Proof. The map ι is the inclusion. Since $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ is an essential ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$, we conclude that $\iota[\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ is an essential ideal of $A(\mathcal{T})$.

The operators $\{[T_{\xi,\eta}]_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ generate $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ (by the definition of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$) and satisfy the matrix unit relations in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$, that is

- $[T_{\xi,\eta}]^*_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} = [T_{\eta,\xi}]_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)}$,
- $[T_{\beta,\alpha}]_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)}[T_{\eta,\xi}]_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} = \delta_{\alpha,\eta}[T_{\beta,\xi}]_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)}$.

Thus $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ by Lemma 2.8. If κ is uncountable, then we observe that $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ can not be embedded into $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ and so it can not be embedded into $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$. This follows from the fact that the vector states for vectors with finitely many rational coordinates on $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ form a countable set in the dual to $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ which separates the elements of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. But there can not be such a set in the dual of $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$, if κ is uncountable. □

Proposition 2.15. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a system of almost matrix units. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ generated by $\mathcal{T}$ and the compact operators is a scattered C^*-algebra of height 2.*

Proof. For the terminology see [13]. Since $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$, by Proposition 3.21 of [13] we have $\mathcal{I}^{At}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})) = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$. So $\mathcal{I}^{At}_1(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})) = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ and $I^{At}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}^{At}_1(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})) \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ by Lemma 2.14, and therefore $\mathcal{I}^{At}_2(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$. □

The proof of the next lemma is essentially based on the proof of Lemma III 6.2 from [10], where the same statement is proved for finite systems of matrix units.

Lemma 2.16. *Suppose that $\{T_{j,i} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a system of almost matrix units in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. Then there is a system $\{E_{j,i} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of matrix units in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $E_{j,i} =^* T_{j,i}$ for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. It is enough to find pairwise orthogonal projections $\{E_{i,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ and partial isometries $E_{j,1}$ such that $E_{j,1}E^*_{j,1} = E_{j,j}$ and $E^*_{j,1}E_{j,1} = E_{1,1}$ and $E_{j,1} =^* T_{j,1}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed then we put $E_{j,i} = E_{j,1}E^*_{i,1}$ for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and verify the matrix unit relations from Definition 2.8: we have $E^*_{i,j} = E_{j,i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$; moreover by Theorem 2.2.3. of [20] (see the paragraph before 2.12) $E_{k,1} = E_{k,k}E_{k,1}$ and $E^*_{j,1} = E^*_{j,1}E_{j,j}$, hence

$$E_{i,j}E_{k,l} = E_{i,1}E^*_{j,1}E_{k,1}E^*_{l,1} = E_{i,1}E^*_{j,1}E_{j,j}E_{k,k}E_{k,1}E^*_{l,1} = 0,$$

if $k \neq j$ since $E_{j,j}E_{k,k} = 0$. If $k = j$, then

$$E_{i,j}E_{k,l} = E_{i,1}E^*_{j,1}E_{j,1}E^*_{l,1} = E_{i,1}E_{1,1}E^*_{l,1} = E_{i,1}E^*_{l,1} = E_{i,l}$$

as required to satisfy 2.8. Also $E_{j,i} = E_{j,1}E^*_{i,1} =^* T_{j,1}T^*_{i,1} =^* T_{j,i}$.

First we obtain orthogonal projections $(D_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$ sch that $D_i =^* T_{i,i}$, using Lemma 2.4 for almost orthogonal projections $(T_{i,i} : i \in \mathbb{N})$. By removing some finite dimensional subspaces of the ranges of D_i we may assume that there is an infinite dimensional projection D_∞ such that $D_i D_\infty = 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $j > 1$. As $D_1 T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1 =^* T_{1,1} T_{j,1}^* T_{j,j} T_{j,1} T_{1,1} =^* T_{1,1} =^* D_1$ we have that $D_1 - D_1 T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1$ is a compact operator which belongs to $D_1 \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) D_1$, and so there is a finite dimensional orthogonal projection $F_j \leq D_1$ such that

$$\|(D_1 - F_j)[D_1 - D_1 T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1](D_1 - F_j)\| < 1.$$

Put $D_1' = D_1 - F_j$. Note that the above element of norm less than one is equal to $D_1' - D_1' T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1'$, hence applying a standard argument with the geometric series implies that $D_1' T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1'$ is invertible in $D_1' \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) D_1'$ as D_1' is the unit of this algebra. In particular $Ker(D_1' T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1') \cap Ran(D_1') = \{0\}$ and so

$$(*) \qquad Ker(D_1' T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1') = (Ran(D_1'))^\perp.$$

Applying the polar decomposition, we obtain $D_j T_{j,1} D_1' = U_j \sqrt{D_1' T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1'}$ where U_j is a partial isometry whose kernel is equal to the kernel of $D_j T_{j,1} D_1'$ (2.3.4. [20]), which is the kernel of $D_1' T_{j,1}^* D_j T_{j,1} D_1'$ (If $v \in Ker(A^* A)$, then $\langle A^* A(v), v\rangle = 0$, so $\langle A(v), A(v)\rangle = 0$, so $A(v) = 0$, hence $v \in Ker(A)$). Therefore by (*) we have

$$Ker(U_j) = (Ran(D_1'))^\perp.$$

So the initial projection $U_j^* U_j$ of U_j is D_1'. The final projection $U_j U_j^*$ of U_j has its range included in D_j. Moreover the polar decomposition gives $T_{j,1} =^* U_j T_{1,1} =^* U_j D_1' = U_j U_j^* U_j = U_j$ (2.3.4. [20]), hence $U_j =^* T_{j,1}$.

Let n_j be the dimension of the range of F_j. Let G_j for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be projections onto pairwise orthogonal subspaces of D_∞ of dimensions n_j, respectively. Put $E_{1,1} = D_1 = D_1' + F_j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For $j > 1$, let $E_{j,j} = U_j U_j^* + G_j$ and $E_{j,1} = U_j + S_j$ where S_j is any partial isometry from the range of F_j onto the range of G_j.

The range of $E_{1,1}$ is equal to the range of D_1 and the ranges of $E_{j,j}$ are $Ran(U_j) \oplus Ran(G_j) \subseteq Ran(D_j) \oplus Ran(G_j)$ and so the projections $(E_{j,j} : j \in \mathbb{N})$ are pairwise orthogonal. The initial projection of $E_{j,1}$ is $D_1' + F_j = D_1$ and the finial projection of $E_{j,1}$ is the projection onto the sum of the ranges of U_j and G_j, which is $E_{j,j}$, as required. By the argument at the beginning of this proof this completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.17. *Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is a separable C^*-algebra satisfying the short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \to 0,$$

*where $i[\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ is an essential ideal of $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is *-isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$.*

Proof. We will show that $\mathcal{B}$ is unique up to *-isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{B}'$ be another separable C^*-algebra satisfying

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \xrightarrow{i'} \mathcal{B}' \xrightarrow{q'} \mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \to 0,$$

and $i'[\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ is an essential ideal of $\mathcal{B}'$.

By the essentiality of the ideals we may assume that $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. Applying Lemma 2.16 we find two systems of matrix units $\{A_{m,n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\{A'_{m,n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ such that $\{[A_{m,n}]_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$

and $\{[A'_{m,n}]_{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates $\mathcal{B}'/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$. As $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$, it follows that $\{A_{m,n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates $\mathcal{B}$ and $\{A'_{m,n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates $\mathcal{B}'$. Let $X_n \subseteq \ell_2$ be the range of the projection $A_{n,n}$ and $X'_n \subseteq \ell_2$ be the range of the projection $A'_{n,n}$. Clearly by the relations from Definition 2.7 X_ns are pairwise orthogonal infinite dimensional subspaces and so are X'_ns. Let $(e^0_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ be an orthonormal basis for X_0 and $(f^0_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ an orthonormal basis for X'_0. As $A^*_{m,n}A_{m,n} = A_{n,n}$ and $(A'_{m,n})^*A'_{m,n} = A'_{n,n}$ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the operators $A_{m,n}$ and $A'_{m,n}$ are partial isometries between X_n and X_m and between X'_n and X'_m, respectively (2.3.3. [20]). In particular they send orthonormal bases to orthonormal bases. Let $e^n_k = A_{n,0}(e^0_k)$ and $f^n_k = A'_{n,0}(f^0_k)$, for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we argue that without loss of generality we may assume that there are infinite dimensional subspaces $X, X' \subseteq \ell_2$ such that $X \perp X_n$ and $X' \perp X'_n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If it is not already the case, we need to modify $\{A_{n,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and/or $\{A'_{n,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in our systems of matrix units. For example in the case of $\{A_{n,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we take the projections onto $span(\{e^n_k : k > 1\})$ instead of $A_{n,n}$ and the partial isometries sending e^n_k to e^m_k only for $k > 1$, instead of $A_{m,n}$. This way X can be taken as $span(\{e^1_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$.

Now let $U \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ be the unitary element in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ which sends e^n_k to f^n_k for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and sends the orthogonal complement of $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$ to the orthogonal complement of $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X'_n$. By the additional hypothesis, these complements are both infinite dimensional, so there is such a U. It follows that $A'_{m,n} = UA_{m,n}U^*$ for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly the conjugation by U is an automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ which leaves $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ invariant, and sends the generators of $\mathcal{B}$ onto the generators of $\mathcal{B}'$ and hence when restricted to $\mathcal{B}$, it is the required isomorphism between $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$.

□

3. Multipliers of systems of almost matrix units

Recall that given a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. For C^*-subalgebras $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the idealizer of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ (see II. 7.3.5. of [6]), that is the algebra $\{T \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) : T\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, , \mathcal{A}T \subseteq \mathcal{A}\}$. We will identify $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ with this algebra in the rest of this paper. We will also consider another weaker notion of a multiplier:

Definition 3.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a system of almost matrix units and $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. We say that R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$ if for every $\xi, \eta < \kappa$ there is $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\xi,\eta}(R) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$T_{\eta,\eta}RT_{\xi,\xi} =^* \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\eta,\xi}.$$

Let $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R)$ denote the $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix $(\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R))_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is clear from the context, we often drop the superscript $\mathcal{T}$, and write $\Lambda(R) = (\lambda_{\eta,\xi}(R))_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$.

In the following, we use I_κ to denote the $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix which has constant 1 on the diagonal and zero everywhere else, where κ is a cardinal. We will also use $1_{B(\ell_2)}$ to denote the unit element of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. When considering $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrices we can treat some of them as operators on $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\kappa))$. Namely, for a fixed (the canonical) orthonormal basis $\{e_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$ for $\ell_2(\kappa)$, we identify operators $T_M \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ defined by $T_M(e_\xi)(\eta) = m_{\eta,\xi}$ with the $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix $M = (m_{\xi,\eta})$. So, for example, T_{I_κ} is the unit of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ and T_M is compact if M is a matrix which has only finitely many nonzero entries. In particular, we will say that a matrix M is a matrix of a

compact operator if T_M is compact. The operations of addition, multiplication by scalar and the transposition of $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrices should be clear.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $\mathcal{T}$ is a system of almost matrix units of size κ. Then the set of all multipliers for $\mathcal{T}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. If $R, S \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ are multipliers for $\mathcal{T}$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

- $\Lambda(\rho R) = \rho\Lambda(R)$,
- $\Lambda(R+S) = \Lambda(R) + \Lambda(S)$,
- $\Lambda(R^*) = \Lambda(R)^T$.

Proof. It is clear that the set of all multipliers for $\mathcal{T}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ as the first two items are immediate. For the third, note that $T_{\eta,\eta}R^*T_{\xi,\xi} = (T_{\xi,\xi}RT_{\eta,\eta})^* = (\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\xi,\eta}(R)T_{\xi,\eta})^* = \overline{\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\xi,\eta}(R)}T_{\eta,\xi}$, and therefore $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R^*) = \overline{\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\xi,\eta}(R)}$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $\mathcal{T}$ is a system of almost matrix units of size κ and $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. Then $\Lambda(R)$ is a matrix of a bounded linear operator on $\ell_2(\kappa)$. In particular, all rows and columns of the matrix $\Lambda(R)$ are in $\ell_2(\kappa)$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that for any finite $F \subseteq \kappa$ and for any $(c_\xi)_{\xi\in F} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Sigma_{\xi\in F}|c_\xi| \leq 1$ we have

$$(*) \qquad \sqrt{\Sigma_{\eta\in F}|\Sigma_{\xi\in F}\lambda_{\eta,\xi}c_\xi|^2} \leq \|R\|.$$

Using Lemma 2.16 we have a system of matrix units $(E_{\eta,\xi})_{\eta,\xi\in F}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $T_{\eta,\xi} =^* E_{\eta,\xi}$ for every $i, j \in F$. It follows that

$$E_{\eta,\eta}RE_{\xi,\xi} = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}(R)E_{\eta,\xi} + S_{\eta,\xi},$$

where $S_{\eta,\xi}$ is a compact operator, for each $\xi, \eta \in F$. For a given $\epsilon > 0$ we will find a norm one vector $w \in \ell_2$ such that $\|R(w)\|^2 \geq \Sigma_{\eta\in F}|\Sigma_{\xi\in F}\lambda_{\eta,\xi}c_\xi|^2 - \varepsilon$, which will prove (*).

By considering an infinite orthonormal basis in the ranges of each $E_{\xi,\xi}$ for $\xi \in F$ and using Lemma 2.1 (2) we can find norm 1 vectors w_ξ in the ranges of $E_{\xi,\xi}$, respectively, such that

$$\Sigma_{\eta\in F}\Sigma_{\xi\in F}|c_\xi|^2\|S_{\eta,\xi}(w_\xi)\|^2 < \varepsilon,$$

and $w_\eta = E_{\eta,\xi}(w_\xi)$ for $\xi, \eta \in F$. The last statement follows from the fact that $E_{\eta,\xi}$s are partial isometries, so all the orthonormal bases may be considered to be the images of a fixed orthonormal basis in $E_{\eta,\eta}$.

So by the pairwise orthogonality of $E_{\xi,\xi}$s for $\xi \in F$ and by the Pythagorean theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R(\Sigma_{\xi\in F}c_\xi w_\xi)\|^2 &\geq \Sigma_{\eta\in F}\|E_{\eta,\eta}R(\Sigma_{\xi\in F}c_\xi w_\xi)\|^2 \\
&= \Sigma_{\eta\in F}\|\Sigma_{\xi\in F}c_\xi E_{\eta,\eta}RE_{\xi,\xi}(w_\xi)\|^2 \\
&= \Sigma_{\eta\in F}\|\Sigma_{\xi\in F}\big(\lambda_{\eta,\xi}c_\xi E_{\eta,\xi}(w_\xi) + c_\xi S_{\eta,\xi}(w_\xi)\big)\|^2 \\
&= \Sigma_{\eta\in F}\|\Sigma_{\xi\in F}(\lambda_{\eta,\xi}c_\xi v_\eta) + \Sigma_{\xi\in F}c_\xi S_{\eta,\xi}(w_\xi)\|^2 \\
&\geq \Sigma_{\eta\in F}|\Sigma_{\xi\in F}\lambda_{\eta,\xi}c_\xi|^2 - \Sigma_{\eta\in F}\Sigma_{\xi\in F}|c_\xi|^2\|S_{\eta,\xi}(w_\xi)\|^2 \\
&\geq \Sigma_{\eta\in F}|\Sigma_{\xi\in F}\lambda_{\eta,\xi}c_\xi|^2 - \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.4. *Assume κ is an uncountable cardinal and $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a system of almost matrix units and $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. Then for every $\xi < \kappa$ there is $\eta < \kappa$ such that $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 all columns and rows can have at most countably many nonzero entries. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a system of almost matrix units and $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. If R belongs to the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}))$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, then R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. In particular all elements of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ are multipliers for $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. The set of all multipliers for $\mathcal{T}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ by Lemma 3.2. By Definition 2.9 (3) we can check that every product of generators of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, hence every finite linear combination of them is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. It follows that all elements of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ are multipliers for $\mathcal{T}$. Now if R belongs to the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}))$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, then $RT_{\xi,\xi} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ and so we have

$$T_{\eta,\eta}RT_{\xi,\xi} = T_{\eta,\eta}RT^2_{\xi,\xi} =^* \lambda_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\eta,\xi},$$

for all $\xi, \eta < \kappa$, where $\lambda_{\eta,\xi}(R) \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a system of almost matrix units. For every $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix $(\lambda_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$ of a compact operator on $\ell_2(\kappa)$ there is $R \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $\lambda_{\eta,\xi}(R) = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}$ for every $\xi, \eta < \kappa$ (see Definition 3.1).*

Proof. Since $(\lambda_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$ is a matrix of a compact operator on $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ (denote this operator by S), there is a countable $A \subseteq \kappa$ such that $\lambda_{\xi,\eta} = 0$ if $(\xi, \eta) \notin A \times A$. This follows from the fact that the image of the unit ball under a compact operator is compact and metrizable, and hence separable which implies that the matrix of the operator must have at most countably many nonzero rows. Now since each row of the matrix $(\lambda_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$ belongs to $\ell_2(\kappa)$, there can be at most countably many nonzero entries.

Apply Lemma 2.16 to obtain a system of matrix units $(E_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta\in A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $E_{\eta,\xi} =^* T_{\eta,\xi}$ for $\xi, \eta \in A$. Let $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finite sets such that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} F_n = A$. Let $\mathcal{B}_n$ be the subalgebra of $(\Sigma_{\xi\in F_n} E_{\xi,\xi})\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)(\Sigma_{\xi\in F_n} E_{\xi,\xi})$ of all operators of the form

$$(*) \qquad \Sigma_{\xi,\eta\in F_n} \alpha_{\eta,\xi} E_{\eta,\xi},$$

where $\alpha_{\eta,\xi} \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $\xi, \eta \in F_n$. From the matrix unit relations (Definition 2.7)) and Lemma 2.8 it follows that $\mathcal{B}_n$ is $*$-isomorphic to the algebra of $|F_n| \times |F_n|$ matrices. Therefore the norm of the operator as in (*) is equal to the matrix norm of the matrix $(\alpha_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta}$. The norm of this operator in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ is the same.

Since $(\lambda_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta}$ is a matrix of a compact operator S, the operators $S_n \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ given by $S_n = P_{F_n} S P_{F_n}$, where P_X is the orthogonal projection from $\ell_2(\kappa)$ onto $\ell_2(X)$ for $X \subseteq \kappa$, converge in the norm to S. Consider the operators

$$R_n = \Sigma_{\xi,\eta\in F_n} \lambda_{\eta,\xi} E_{\eta,\xi}.$$

By the above comments about the norms of operators in $\mathcal{B}_n$ we conclude that $\|R_n - R_m\| = \|S_n - S_m\|$ for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $(R_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ forms a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ with all elements in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ and hence converges to some operator $R \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$. Since for every $\xi, \eta \in A$, there is large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E_{\eta,\eta}R_nE_{\xi,\xi} = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}E_{\eta,\xi}$, we conclude that $T_{\eta,\eta}RT_{\xi,\xi} =^* E_{\eta,\eta}RE_{\xi,\xi} = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}E_{\eta,\xi}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in A$. So $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R) = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in A$. On the other hand if $(\xi, \eta) \notin A \times A$,

then $T_{\xi,\xi}R_nT_{\eta,\eta} \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as $\{T_{\xi,\xi} : \xi \notin A\} \cup \{E_{\xi,\xi} : \xi \in A\}$ is still almost orthogonal, and thus $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R) = 0$. It follows that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R) = (\lambda_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$ as required. □

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is a maximal system of almost matrix units of size κ. Given two multipliers R, R' for $\mathcal{T}$, if $\Lambda(R) = \Lambda(R')$, then $R =^* R'$. In particular,*

(1) if $\Lambda(R)$ is a compact $\kappa \times \kappa$-matrix, then $R \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$,
(2) if $\Lambda(R) = \lambda I_\kappa$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $R =^* \lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}$,
(3) if $\Lambda(R) = \lambda I_\kappa + M$, where M is a $\kappa \times \kappa$-matrix of a compact operator, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})}$.

Proof. Suppose that $R - R'$ is not compact. Then by Lemma 2.6, there are $\xi, \eta < \kappa$ such that $T_{\xi,\xi}(R - R')T_{\eta,\eta}$ is noncompact, and hence by Lemma 3.2 we have that $\lambda_{\xi,\eta}(R - R') = \lambda_{\xi,\eta}(R) - \lambda_{\xi,\eta}(R') \neq 0$.

(1) Suppose that R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$ such that $(\lambda_{\xi,\eta}(R))_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$ is a matrix of a compact operator on $\ell_2(\kappa)$. By Lemma 3.6 we obtain $R' \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $\lambda_{\xi,\eta}(R) = \lambda_{\xi,\eta}(R')$ for every $\xi, \eta < \kappa$. By the first part of the lemma we conclude that $R - R'$ is compact, and therefore $R \in A(\mathcal{T})$ since $A(\mathcal{T})$ includes all compact operators.

(2) Note that $\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}$ is clearly a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$, and $\Lambda(\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)})$ is the matrix λI_κ. Now use the first part of the lemma to conclude the statement.

(3) Note that by Lemma 3.6 there is $R' \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $\Lambda(R') = M$. Then $\Lambda(R - R') = \lambda I_\kappa$ and by (2) we have $R - R' =^* \lambda I_{\mathbb{N}}$. Therefore $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})}$. □

Definition 3.8. *Assume that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a system of almost matrix units, and $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$.*

- *R is a trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$, if $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R) = \lambda I_\kappa + M$, for a matrix of a compact operator M on $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\kappa))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*
- *R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$, if $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R) = \lambda I_\kappa + M$, for a $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix M with at most countably many nonzero entries and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*
- *R is a $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$, if $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R) = \lambda I_\kappa + M$, for a $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix M with less than continuum many nonzero entries and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Corollary 3.9. *If R is a trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$, then $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})}$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.7 (3). □

Lemma 3.10. *Assume that κ is a cardinal and $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta < \kappa\}$ is a maximal system of almost matrix units and $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. If $\Lambda(R)$ has at most countably nonzero entries, then it is a $\kappa \times \kappa$ matrix of a compact operator.*

Proof. Assume $\Lambda(R) = (\lambda_{\eta,\xi})_{\xi,\eta<\kappa}$. By re-enumerating the $T_{\xi,\eta}$s we may assume that if $\lambda_{\xi,\eta} \neq 0$, then $\xi, \eta < \omega$. Also by Lemma 2.4 we may assume that $\{T_{\xi,\xi} : \xi < \omega\}$ are pairwise orthogonal.

By Lemma 3.3, $\Lambda(R)$ is a matrix of a bounded linear operator on $\ell_2(\kappa)$. Suppose that $\Lambda(R)$ is a matrix of a noncompact operator on $\ell_2(\kappa)$. Aiming at a contradiction, we will construct a projection P such that $T_{\xi,\xi}RP$ is compact for all $\xi < \omega$ but RP is noncompact. By the argument like in Lemma 2.6 this will give $\xi < \kappa$ such that $T_{\xi,\xi}RP$ is noncompact, which by the assumption implies that $\omega \leq \xi < \kappa$. Then $T_{\xi,\xi}R$ is also noncompact, so again there is $\eta < \kappa$ such that $T_{\xi,\xi}RT_{\eta,\eta}$ is

noncompact, which means that $\lambda_{\xi,\eta} \neq 0$ and $(\xi,\eta) \notin \omega \times \omega$, contradicting the hypothesis of the lemma.

To construct P we will construct its range spanned by its orthonormal basis $(v_k : k \in \omega)$. It will be enough to choose the vectors v_k in such a way that for each $\xi < \omega$ we have that $\|T_{\xi,\xi}R(v_k)\| \leq 1/2^k$ for all $k \in [\xi,\omega)$ and $\|R(v_k)\|$ does not converge to 0 with $k \to \infty$. Then as $P(v_k) = v_k$ and $(v_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ can be extended to an orthonormal basis of ℓ_2, we will obtain from Lemma 2.1 (1) that $T_{\xi,\xi}RP$ is compact for every $\xi < \omega$ and from Lemma 2.1 (2) that RP is noncompact.

Using the fact that $\Lambda(R)$ is a matrix of a bounded linear operator (Lemma 3.3) which is not compact, by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (using the elementary argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 (3)), we can construct finite pairwise disjoint $F_k \subseteq \mathbb{N} = \omega$ and $(a_n)_{n\in F_k}$ such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

(1) $\Sigma_{n\in F_k}|a_n|^2 \leq 1$,
(2) $\|\Lambda(R)(\Sigma_{n\in F_k}a_n\chi_{\{n\}})\| = \sqrt{\Sigma_{\xi\in\kappa}|\Sigma_{n\in F_k}a_n\lambda_{\xi,n}|^2} > \varepsilon$,
(3) $|\Sigma_{n\in F_k}a_n\lambda_{\xi,n}|^2 \leq \Sigma_{n\in F_k}|\lambda_{\xi,n}|^2 \leq 1/2^k$ for all $\xi < k$.

The condition (3) follows from (1) and the fact that the rows of $\Lambda(R)$ are in $\ell_2(\kappa)$ (Lemma 3.3). Using the compactness of the operators $T_{\xi,\xi}RT_{n,n} - \lambda_{\xi,n}T_{\xi,n}$, we find $w_n \in Im(T_{n,n})$ of norm one such that $\|\Sigma_{\xi<\omega}\Sigma_{n\in F_k}(T_{\xi,\xi}RT_{n,n} - \lambda_{\xi,n}T_{\xi,n})(w_n)\| < \varepsilon/2$. Putting $v_k = \Sigma_{n\in F_k}a_nw_n$ we obtain

(a) $\|R(v_k)\| \geq \|\Sigma_{\xi\in\omega}\Sigma_{n\in F_k}T_{\xi,\xi}RT_{n,n}(a_nw_n)\| \geq \sqrt{\Sigma_{\xi\in\kappa}|\Sigma_{n\in F_k}a_n\lambda_{\xi,n}|^2} - \varepsilon/2 > \varepsilon/2$,
(b) $\|T_{\xi,\xi}R(v_k)\| = \|\Sigma_{n\in F_k}T_{\xi,\xi}R(v_k)T_{n,n}\| \leq 1/2^k$, for all $\xi < k$.

As noted before this is sufficient to obtain a contradiction from the conjunction of the hypothesis that Λ is a matrix of a noncompact operator and the set of its nonzero entries is countable. □

Corollary 3.11. *Assume that κ is a cardinal and $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi,\eta < \kappa\}$ is a maximal system of almost matrix units and $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. If R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$, then R is a trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R)$ is of the form $\lambda I_\kappa + M$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and M is a matrix with countably many nonzero entries. So $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R - \lambda I_{\mathbb{N}})$ is M, which has at most countably many nonzero entries, which means by Lemma 3.10 that M is a matrix of a compact operator and so R is a trivial multiplierfor $\mathcal{T}$. □

4. The Cantor tree system of almost matrix units

Let $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all maps $s : \{0,...,n\} \to \{0,1\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $s = \emptyset$ and by $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the Cantor space, the space of all maps $\xi : \mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}$, equipped with the product topology. For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ we can associate a set

$$A_\xi = \{s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} : s \subseteq \xi\},$$

which is usually called the "branch through ξ". It is easy to see that $\{A_\xi : \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is an almost disjoint family of subsets of $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of size continuum. In this section, we let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the separable Hilbert space $\ell_2(2^{<\mathbb{N}})$. For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ define a projection $T_{\xi,\xi} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$T_{\xi,\xi}(x)(s) = \begin{cases} x(s) & \text{if } s \in A_\xi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} = \{T_{\xi,\xi} : \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a family of almost orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $\{e_s : s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}\}$ be the canonical orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., $e_s(t) = 1$ if $t = s$ and $e_s(t) = 0$, otherwise. For every $\xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, define a linear bounded operator $T_{\eta,\xi} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ by $T_{\eta,\xi}(e_{\xi|k}) = e_{\eta|k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_{\eta,\xi}(e_t) = 0$ if t is not equal to $\xi|k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a system of almost matrix units (Definition 2.9) based on $\mathcal{P}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ (Definition 2.10). We will call this system of almost matrix units "the Cantor tree system of matrix units". In the rest of this section the operators $T_{\eta,\xi}$ will always refer to the members of $T_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$.

Recall that a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of a Polish space (a separable completely metrizable space) is said to have the *perfect set property*, if every uncountable element of $\mathcal{F}$ has a perfect subset. In particular every uncountable element of $\mathcal{F}$ must have cardinality continuum. In the following lemma we use the fact that the family of Borel sets has the perfect set property (e.g., 13.6 of [16]).

Lemma 4.1. *Assume $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ and U is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{C}$, then the set*

$$B_U^R = \{(\eta, \xi) \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} : \lambda_{\eta,\xi}^{\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}}(R) \in U\}$$

is Borel in $2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}$. In particular, B_U^R is either countable or of size of the continuum.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{\eta,\xi} = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}^{\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}}(R)$ for every $\xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
Claim. $\psi_n : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\psi_n(\eta, \xi) = \left\langle R(e_{\eta|_n}), e_{\xi|_n} \right\rangle$$

is a continuous function, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof of the Claim. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $s, t \in 2^n$ let $O_{s,t}$ denote the clopen set $\{(\eta, \xi) \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} : s \subseteq \xi \ \& \ t \subseteq \eta\}$. Note that ψ_n is constant on $O_{s,t}$, for every $(s, t) \in 2^n \times 2^n$. In fact, $\psi_n(\eta, \xi) = \langle R(e_s), e_t \rangle$ for every $(\eta, \xi) \in O_{s,t}$. Since $2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{s,t \in 2^n} O_{s,t}$, the range of ψ_n is finite, and it is continuous, which completes the proof of the claim.

For each $\xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, since $W_{\eta,\xi} = \lambda_{\eta,\xi} T_{\eta,\xi} - T_{\eta,\eta} R T_{\xi,\xi}$ is a compact operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|W_{\eta,\xi}(e_{\xi|_n})\| = 0$ (see Lemma 2.1 (2)), and therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\langle W_{\eta,\xi}(e_{\xi|_n}), e_{\eta|_n} \rangle| = 0.$$

This means that

$$\left\langle T_{\eta,\eta} R T_{\xi,\xi}(e_{\xi|_n}), e_{\eta|_n} \right\rangle \to \left\langle \lambda_{\eta,\xi} T_{\eta,\xi}(e_{\xi|_n}), e_{\eta|_n} \right\rangle = \lambda_{\eta,\xi} \left\langle e_{\eta|_n}, e_{\eta|_n} \right\rangle = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}.$$

Thus, for each $\xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$\psi_n(\eta, \xi) = \left\langle R(e_{\eta|_n}), e_{\xi|_n} \right\rangle = \left\langle T_{\eta,\eta} R T_{\xi,\xi}(e_{\xi|_n}), e_{\eta|_n} \right\rangle,$$

converges to $\lambda_{\eta,\xi}$. So the map $\psi : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $\psi(\eta, \xi) = \lambda_{\eta,\xi}$ is the pointwise limit of continuous functions ψ_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence it is Borel (Ex. 11.2 (i) [16]), which means $B_U^R = \psi^{-1}[U]$ is Borel.

□

Corollary 4.2. *If R is a $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$, then R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}}(R) = \lambda I_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} + M$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and M has less then continuum nonzero entries. Note that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}}(R - \lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}) = \lambda I_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} + M - \lambda I_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} = M$ by Lemma 3.2. So $B^{R-\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}}_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}}$ is a Borel subset of $2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ (Lemma 4.1), and of cardinality less then $\mathfrak{c}$. Therefore the perfect set property for Borel sets, implies that $B^{R-\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}}_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}}$ is countable, so M has at most countably many nonzero entries, which means that R is σ-trivial. □

5. Pairing systems of almost matrix units

Definition 5.1. *Let X be a set which is partitioned into two subsets of the same cardinality, $X = Y \cup (X \setminus Y)$ and suppose that $\rho : Y \to (X \setminus Y)$ is a bijection. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X\}$ is a system of almost matrix units in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. We say that a system of matrix units $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in Y\}$ is a pairing of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ if and only if for every $\xi, \eta \in Y$ the following holds:*

$$U_{\eta,\xi} =^* T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}.$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let X be a set which is partitioned into two subsets of the same cardinality, $X = Y \cup (X \setminus Y)$ and suppose that $\rho : Y \to (X \setminus Y)$ is a bijection. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X\}$ is a system of almost matrix units. Then there exists a pairing $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ. If $\mathcal{T}$ is maximal, then there is a pairing $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ, which is maximal.*

Proof. For $\xi, \eta \in Y$ define

$$U_{\eta,\xi} = T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}.$$

We check that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in Y\}$ is a system of almost matrix units: $(U_{\eta,\xi})^* = (T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)})^* =^* T_{\xi,\eta} + T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\eta)} = U_{\xi,\eta}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in Y$. For all $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta \in Y$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\beta,\alpha}U_{\eta,\xi} &= (T_{\beta,\alpha} + T_{\rho(\beta),\rho(\alpha)})(T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}) \\
&= T_{\beta,\alpha}T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\beta,\alpha}T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)} + T_{\rho(\beta),\rho(\alpha)}T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\rho(\beta),\rho(\alpha)}T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)} \\
&=^* \delta_{\alpha,\eta}T_{\beta\xi} + \delta_{\alpha,\rho(\eta)}T_{\beta,\rho(\xi)} + \delta_{\rho(\alpha),\eta}T_{\rho(\beta),\xi} + \delta_{\rho(\alpha),\rho(\eta)}T_{\rho(\beta),\rho(\xi)} \\
&= \delta_{\alpha,\eta}T_{\beta\xi} + \delta_{\rho(\alpha),\rho(\eta)}T_{\rho(\beta),\rho(\xi)} = \delta_{\alpha,\eta}(T_{\beta\xi} + T_{\rho(\beta),\rho(\xi)}) \\
&= \delta_{\alpha,\eta}U_{\beta,\xi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above $\delta_{\rho(\alpha),\eta} = \delta_{\alpha,\rho(\eta)} = 0$, since $Y \cap \rho[Y] = \emptyset$ and $\delta_{\alpha,\eta} = \delta_{\rho(\alpha),\rho(\eta)}$ as ρ is a bijection.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X\}$ is a maximal system of almost matrix units, that is, there is a maximal family $\{P_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ of almost orthogonal projections (see Definition 2.10) such that $T_{\xi,\xi} =^* P_\xi$ for each $\xi \in X$. We will show that $\mathcal{U}$ is also a maximal system of almost matrix units. We need to produce a maximal family $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_\xi : \xi \in Y\}$ of almost orthogonal projections, such that $\mathcal{U}$ is based on $\mathcal{Q}$.

Using Lemma 2.4 for each pair $s = \{\xi, \rho(\xi)\}$, separately for every $\xi \in Y$ find orthogonal projections $P^s_\xi, P^s_{\rho(\xi)} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $P^s_\xi =^* P_\xi$ and $P^s_{\rho(\xi)} =^* P_{\rho(\xi)}$ and $P^s_\xi P^s_{\rho(\xi)} = 0$. For each $\xi \in Y$ define $Q_\xi = P^s_\xi + P^s_{\rho(\xi)}$ for $s = \{\xi, \rho(\xi)\}$, which is a projection as it is the sum of two orthogonal projections and moreover

$$(*) \qquad Q_\xi =^* T_{\xi,\xi} + T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)} = U_{\xi,\xi}.$$

It remains to prove that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a maximal family of almost orthogonal projections. Suppose that P is a projection in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$. By the maximality of $\{P_\xi : \xi \in X\}$, there is $\alpha \in X$ such that $P_\alpha P$ is not a compact operator. Let $\xi \in Y$ be such that $\alpha \in \{\xi, \rho(\xi)\}$, so we have

$$T_{\alpha,\alpha}U_{\xi,\xi} = T_{\alpha,\alpha}(T_{\xi,\xi} + T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)}) =^* T_{\alpha,\alpha},$$

by Definition 2.9 (2), as the domain and the range of ρ are disjoint. Therefore $T_{\alpha,\alpha}U_{\xi,\xi}P =^* T_{\alpha,\alpha}P =^* P_\alpha P$. Thus $T_{\alpha,\alpha}U_{\xi,\xi}P$ and consequently by (*) $U_{\xi,\xi}P =^* Q_\xi P$ are noncompact, which shows that $\mathcal{U}$ is maximal as well. □

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose X, Y and ρ are as in Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X\}$ be a system of almost matrix units and $\mathcal{U}$ be a pairing of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ. Suppose that $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$. Then R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$ and*

$$\lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R) = \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R) = \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}(R),$$
$$\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\rho(\xi)}(R) = \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\rho(\eta),\xi}(R) = 0$$

for each $\xi, \eta \in Y$.

Proof. We have $T_{\xi,\xi}U_{\xi,\xi} =^* T_{\xi,\xi}(T_{\xi,\xi} + T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)}) =^* T_{\xi,\xi}$, as $Y \cap \rho[Y] = \emptyset$ and by the almost matrix units relations. Similarly $U_{\xi,\xi}T_{\xi,\xi} =^* T_{\xi,\xi}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\eta,\eta}RT_{\xi,\xi} &=^* T_{\eta,\eta}U_{\eta,\eta}RU_{\xi,\xi}T_{\xi,\xi} =^* \lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\eta,\eta}U_{\eta,\xi}T_{\xi,\xi} \\ &= \lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\eta,\eta}(T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)})T_{\xi,\xi} = \lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\eta,\eta}T_{\eta,\xi}T_{\xi,\xi} \\ &= \lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\eta,\xi}, \end{aligned}$$

again since $Y \cap \rho[Y] = \emptyset$.

Similarly $U_{\xi,\xi}T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)} =^* T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)}$ and $T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)}U_{\xi,\xi} =^* T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)}$ and so $T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\eta)}RT_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)} =^* \lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}$.

To prove the second part of the lemma note that $\lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)(T_{\eta,\xi} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}) =^* \lambda^{\mathcal{U}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)U_{\eta,\xi} =^* U_{\eta,\eta}RU_{\xi,\xi} = (T_{\eta,\eta} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\eta)})R(T_{\xi,\xi} + T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)}) = T_{\eta,\eta}RT_{\xi,\xi} + T_{\eta,\eta}RT_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\eta)}RT_{\xi,\xi} + T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\eta)}RT_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)} =^* \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R)T_{\eta,\xi} + \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\rho(\xi)}(R)T_{\eta,\rho(\xi)} + \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\rho(\eta),\xi}(R)T_{\rho(\eta),\xi} + \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}(R)T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\xi)}$. Multiplying the above equalities by $T_{\eta,\eta}$ from the left and $T_{\rho(\xi),\rho(\xi)}$ from the right, using 2.9 (2) and the fact that $\rho(\eta) \neq \eta \neq \rho(\xi) \neq \xi$ we obtain that $0 =^* \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\rho(\xi)}(R)T_{\eta,\rho(\xi)}$. Since $T_{\eta,\rho(\xi)}$ is noncompact, it follows that $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\rho(\xi)}(R) = 0$. We obtain $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\rho(\eta),\xi}(R) = 0$ in a similar way multiplying the above equalities by $T_{\xi,\xi}$ from the right and $T_{\rho(\eta),\rho(\eta)}$ from the left. □

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose X, Y and ρ are as in Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X\}$ be a system of almost matrix units and $\mathcal{U}$ be a pairing of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ. If $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a σ-trivial ($\mathfrak{c}$-trivial) multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$, then R is a σ-trivial ($\mathfrak{c}$-trivial) multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. The $X \times X$ matrix $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R)$ consists of four blocks $Y \times Y$, $(X \setminus Y) \times Y$, $Y \times (X \setminus Y)$ and $(X \setminus Y) \times (X \setminus Y)$. Lemma 5.3 implies that the $Y \times Y$-block is the matrix $\Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(R)$, that $(X \setminus Y) \times (X \setminus Y)$-block is a copy of the $Y \times Y$-block and the remaining blocks have only zero entries. This clearly implies the lemma. □

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X\}$ is a system of almost matrix units where X is of size continuum. Then there are $Y \subseteq X$ and a bijection $\rho : Y \to (X \setminus Y)$ such that for every pairing $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ, whenever R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$, then R is a $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. We may assume that $X = \mathfrak{c}$. Let $(R_\xi)_{\xi<\mathfrak{c}}$ be an enumeration (with possible repetitions) of all multipliers for $\mathcal{T}$ which are not $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial. By induction on $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ we construct distinct $\beta^i_\alpha, \gamma^i_\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $\{\beta^i_\alpha, \gamma^i_\alpha : i \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ has six distinct elements for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and such that either

(1) $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\beta^1_\alpha,\beta^2_\alpha}(R_\alpha) \neq 0$ and $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\gamma^1_\alpha,\gamma^2_\alpha}(R_\alpha) = 0$, or
(2) $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\beta^3_\alpha,\beta^3_\alpha}(R_\alpha) \neq \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\gamma^3_\alpha,\gamma^3_\alpha}(R_\alpha)$,

and moreover $\{\beta^i_\alpha, \gamma^i_\alpha : i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} = \mathfrak{c}$.

At stage $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ consider the set $A_\alpha = \{\beta^i_\delta, \gamma^i_\delta : i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \delta < \alpha\}$. Before defining $\{\beta^i_\alpha, \gamma^i_\alpha : i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we will identify the reason why a multiplier R_α is not a $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$. If it is because $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R_\alpha)$ has continuum nonzero entries off the diagonal, then we find such an entry $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\xi}(R_\alpha)$ with distinct $\xi, \eta \notin A_\alpha$. This can be achieved because by Lemma 3.3 the cardinality of the set of all nonzero entries $\lambda_{\xi,\eta}$ with $\xi, \eta \in A_\alpha$ is less than $\mathfrak{c}$ and we have assumed that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R_\alpha)$ has continuum nonzero entries off the diagonal. Now find distinct $\xi', \eta' \notin A_\alpha \cup \{\xi, \eta\}$ so that $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta',\xi'}(R_\alpha) = 0$. This can be achieved again by Lemma 3.3. Put $\beta^1_\alpha = \xi, \gamma^1_\alpha = \xi', \beta^2_\alpha = \eta, \gamma^2_\alpha = \eta'$ so (1) holds. Now take $\beta^3_\alpha, \gamma^3_\alpha$ be the first two elements of the set $\mathfrak{c} \setminus (A_\alpha \cup \{\beta^1_\alpha, \beta^2_\alpha, \gamma^1_\alpha, \gamma^2_\alpha\})$.

Otherwise if $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R_\alpha)$ has less then continuum nonzero entries off the diagonal but is not a $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$, it must be that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}}(R_\alpha)$ has two different entries $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\xi,\xi}(R_\alpha) \neq \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta,\eta}(R_\alpha)$ on the diagonal such that $\xi, \eta \notin A_\alpha$, since A_α has cardinality less than continuum. So we put $\beta^3_\alpha = \xi$, $\gamma^3_\alpha = \eta$ so that (2) holds.

In this case put $\beta^1_\alpha, \gamma^1_\alpha, \beta^2_\alpha, \gamma^2_\alpha$ to be the first four elements of the set $\mathfrak{c} \setminus (A_\alpha \cup \{\beta^3_\alpha, , \gamma^3_\alpha\}))$. The choice of $\beta^3_\alpha, \gamma^3_\alpha$ in the first case and $\beta^1_\alpha, \gamma^1_\alpha, \beta^2_\alpha, \gamma^2_\alpha$ in the second case guarantees that $\{\beta^i_\alpha, \gamma^i_\alpha : i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} = \mathfrak{c}$, which completes the inductive construction.

We put $Y = \{\beta^i_\alpha : i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ and we define $\rho : Y \to (X \setminus Y)$ by $\rho(\beta^i_\alpha) = \gamma^i_\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in Y\}$ be a pairing of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ, which exists by Lemma 5.2.

Suppose that R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{T}$ which is not $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial, so $R = R_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. We will show that R is not a multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$, which will prove the required property of $\mathcal{U}$.

If (1) holds, then $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\beta^1_\alpha,\beta^2_\alpha}(R) \neq \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\rho(\beta^1_\alpha),\rho(\beta^2_\alpha)}(R)$ as $\rho(\beta^i_\alpha) = \gamma^i_\alpha$ for $i = 1, 2$, but this contradicts Lemma 5.3.

If (2) holds, then $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\beta^3_\alpha,\beta^3_\alpha}(R) \neq \lambda^{\mathcal{T}}_{\rho(\beta^3_\alpha),\rho(\beta^3_\alpha)}(R)$ as $\rho(\beta^3_\alpha) = \gamma^3_\alpha$, but this contradicts Lemma 5.3. This shows that R is not a multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$ and completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in X\}$ is a system of almost matrix units and $\rho : Y \to (X \setminus Y)$ is a bijection where $Y \subseteq X$ and that $\mathcal{U}$ is a pairing of $\mathcal{T}$ along ρ. If $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ is a multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$ which is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{T_{\eta,\xi} : \xi, \eta \in Y'\}$ for any Y' satisfying $Y \subseteq Y' \subseteq X$, then R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}_1}(R - \lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)})$ is a matrix with countably many nonzero entries. By Lemma 5.3 there are only countably many nonzero entries of $\Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(R - \lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)})$, because they are all equal to some entries of $\Lambda^{\mathcal{T}_1}(R - \lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)})$, so R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$.

□

6. The final construction

The construction of the C^*-algebra indicated in the title of this paper and described in the introduction starts with the Cantor tree system of almost matrix units $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ and follows the scheme:

$$\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}} \xrightarrow{\text{extending}} \{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \cup X\} \xrightarrow{\text{pairing with } Y \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\text{pairing, Lemma 5.5.}} \mathcal{S}$$

Theorem 6.1. *There is a C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ containing the ideal of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ such that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ is $*$-isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathfrak{c}))$ of all compact operators on the Hilbert space of density continuum and the algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of multipliers of $\mathcal{A}$ is equal to the unitization $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. We work with $\ell_2(2^{<\mathbb{N}})$ instead of ℓ_2 as $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is countable. Start with the Cantor tree system of almost matrix units $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ of Section 4. Extend it to a maximal system of almost matrix units $\{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \cup X\}$ for some set X, by Lemma 2.13. It is clear that X has cardinality not bigger than continuum. Let $Y \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that both Y and $2^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus Y$ have cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$. It follows that there is a bijection $\rho : Y \to (2^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus Y) \cup X$. Now let $\mathcal{U}$ be a pairing of $\{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \cup X\}$ along ρ. Finally apply the pairing from Lemma 5.5 (for $\mathcal{U}$ instead of $\mathcal{T}$), to obtain a system $\mathcal{S}$ of almost matrix units with the special properties mentioned in the Lemma 5.5. We claim that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is the desired C^*-algebra.

So suppose that R is in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. This means, by Lemma 3.5, that R is a multiplier for $\mathcal{S}$. Lemma 5.5 implies that R is a $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$ and so Lemma 5.4 implies that R is a $\mathfrak{c}$-trivial multiplier for $\{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \cup X\}$ and hence for $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$. This however implies that R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{T}_{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ by Corollary 4.2. By Lemma 5.6 (for $Y' = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$) the operator R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{U}$ and again by Lemma 5.6 (for $\mathcal{U}$ as $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1$ and $Y' = Y$) it is σ-trivial for S. However $\{T_{\xi,\eta} : \xi, \eta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \cup X\}$ was a maximal system of almost matrix units, so by the last part of Lemma 5.2, the system $\mathcal{U}$ and so $\mathcal{S}$ are maximal systems of almost matrix units. The maximality of $\mathcal{S}$ together with the fact that R is a σ-trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{S}$ gives that R is a trivial multiplier for $\mathcal{S}$ (Corollary 3.11). But trivial multipliers for maximal systems of almost matrix units belong to the unitizations of the algebra generated by them and the compact operators by Lemma 3.9, so R belongs to the unitization of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, as required.

□

References

1. C. Akemann, G. Pedersen, J. Tomiyama, *Multipliers of C^*-algebras*. J. Functional Analysis 13 (1973), 277–301.
2. P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Memoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts dedie a Monsieur D. Egoroff*, 1929.
3. W. Arveson, *An invitation to C^*-algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
4. T. Bice, P. Koszmider, *A note on the Akemann-Doner and Farah-Wofsey constructions*, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1602.02429.pdf`
5. B. Blackadar, *Traces on simple AF C^*-algebras*. J. Funct. Anal. 38 (1980), no. 2, 156–168.
6. B. Blackadar, *Operator algebras: theory of C^*-algebras and von Neumann algebras*, Vol. 122. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.

7. L. Brown, R. Douglas, P. Fillmore, *Extensions of C^*-algebras and K-homology*. Ann. of Math. (2) 105 (1977), no. 2, 265–324.
8. R. Busby, *On structure spaces and extensions of C^*-algebras*. J. Functional Analysis 1 1967 370–377.
9. Y. Choi, I. Farah, N. Ozawa, *A nonseparable amenable operator algebra which is not isomorphic to a C^*-algebra. Forum Math. Sigma 2 (2014), e2, 12 pp.*
10. K. Davidson, *C^*-algebras by example*. Fields Institute Monographs, 6. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
11. I. Farah, E. Wofsey, *Set theory and operator algebras*, in Appalachian Set Theory 2006-2012, eds. J. Cummings, E. Schimmerling, LMS Lecture Notes Series 406. `http://www.math.cmu.edu/~ eschimme/Appalachian/FarahWofseyNotes.pdf`
12. I. Farah, T. Katsura, *Nonseparable UHF algebras I: Dixmier's problem*. Adv. Math. 225 (2010), no. 3, 1399–1430.
13. S. Ghasemi, P. Koszmider, *Noncommutative Cantor-Bendixson derivatives and thin-tall C^*-algebras*, Preprint 2016. `arxiv.org/pdf/1611.00221.pdf`.
14. M. Hrusak, *Almost disjoint families and topology*. Recent progress in general topology. III, 601–638, Atlantis Press, Paris, 2014.
15. K.K. Jensen, K. Thomsen. *Elements of KK-theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
16. A. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
17. M. Kusuda, *C^*-algebras in which every C^*-subalgebra is AF* Q. J. Math. 63 (2012), no. 3, 675–680.
18. T. Loring, *Lifting solutions to perturbing problems in C^*-algebras*. Fields Institute Monographs, 8. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
19. S. Mrówka, *Some set-theoretic constructions in topology*. Fund. Math. 94 (1977), no. 2, 83–92.
20. G. Murphy, *C^*-algebras and operator theory*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
21. G. Pedersen, *Extensions of C^*-algebras*. Operator algebras and quantum field theory (Rome, 1996), 1–35, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997.
22. M. Rordam, *Extensions of stable C^*-algebras*. Doc. Math. 6 (2001), 241–246.
23. M. Rordam, *Stable C^*-algebras*. Operator algebras and applications, 177–199, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 38, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
24. D. Taylor, *A general Phillips theorem for C^*-algebras and some applications*. Pacific J. Math. 40 (1972), 477–488.
25. A. Vignati, *An algebra whose subalgebras are characterized by density*. J. Symb. Log. 80 (2015), no. 3, 1066–1074.
26. D. Voiculescu, *A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 21 (1976), no. 1, 97–113.
27. E. Wofsey, *$\wp(\mathbb{N})/fin$ and projections in the Calkin algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 2, 719–726.

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: `sghasemi@impan.pl`

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: `piotr.koszmider@impan.pl`